

- 1 Cometto G, Ooms G, Starrs A, Zeitz P. A global fund for the health MDGs? *Lancet* 2009; **373**: 1500–02.
- 2 Marchal B, Cavalli A, Kegels G. Global health actors claim to support health system strengthening—is this reality or rhetoric? *PLoS Med* 2009; **6**: 1–5.
- 3 Sheier R. African graft stings donors. *Christian Science Monitor* June 1, 2006.
- 4 Armstrong Schellenberg JR, Adam T, Mshinda H, et al. Effectiveness and cost of facility-based Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI) in Tanzania. *Lancet* 2004; **364**: 1583–94.
- 5 Gilroy K. Debriefing on the external retrospective evaluation of ACSO. [http://www.who.int/immunization/newsroom/190209\\_K\\_Gilroy.pdf](http://www.who.int/immunization/newsroom/190209_K_Gilroy.pdf) (accessed May 6, 2009).

In line with Giorgio Cometto and colleagues' Comment,<sup>1</sup> we suggest that the Global Fund should open three additional funding windows.

First, a health systems window would finance training and salaries of community health workers and other professionals, alongside construction, equipping, and maintenance of primary health facilities.

Second, a maternal and child survival window would enhance facility-based services for antenatal care, safe delivery (including emergency obstetric interventions), and newborn care,<sup>2</sup> and structural interventions to address major causes of child mortality including diarrhoea, respiratory infections, and undernutrition.<sup>3</sup>

Finally, a neglected tropical disease window would finance an integrated delivery package to control soil-transmitted helminths, lymphatic filariasis, schistosomiasis, onchocerciasis, and trachoma.<sup>4</sup>

The Comment, however, misrepresented the perspective of the Harvard Consensus Statement, suggesting it assumed that Africa's health systems "were working reasonable well". The dismal state of public health in Africa was in fact well known. Expanding antiretroviral treatment was advocated because of the possibility to stop mass deaths from a treatable disease. Furthermore, the Commission on Macroeconomics and Health advocated a general scale-up of

support for primary health, including AIDS and other disorders. The Commission called for donor support of 0.1% of donor gross national product (roughly US\$36 billion in current dollars).<sup>5</sup> Actual donor aid lags at around 0.04% (\$12 billion). We are not overspending on AIDS but underspending on the rest.

These needed sums are paltry relative to military spending or the bank bailouts. The Global Fund offers an effective financing mechanism. The choice is not between AIDS, health systems, and other Millennium Development Goals. We can and must support them all.

We declare that we have no conflicts of interest.

Jeffrey D Sachs, \*Paul M Pronyk  
ppronyk@ei.columbia.edu

The Earth Institute, Columbia University, Broadway and 116th, New York, NY 10027, USA

- 1 Cometto G, Ooms G, Starrs A, Zeitz P. A global fund for the health MDGs? *Lancet* 2009; **373**: 1500–02.
- 2 Kerber KJ, de Graft-Johnson JE, Bhutta ZA, Okong PAS, Lawn JE. Continuum of care for maternal, newborn, and child health: from slogan to service delivery. *Lancet* 2007; **370**: 1358–69.
- 3 Ekman B, Pathmanathan I, Liljestrand J. Integrating health interventions for women, newborn babies, and children: a framework for action. *Lancet* 2008; **372**: 990–1000.
- 4 Hotez P, Molyneux DH, Fenwick A, Savioli L, Takeuchi T. A Global Fund to fight neglected tropical diseases: is the G8 Hokkaido Toyako 2008 Summit ready? *PLoS Neglect Trop Dis* 2008; **2**: e220.
- 5 Commission on Macroeconomics and Health. *Macroeconomics and health: investing in health for economic development*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2001.

## Access to health care for undocumented migrants in Italy

In Italy, since 1998, undocumented migrants have had the right to receive health care under national law, without being reported to immigration authorities. This aspect of the legislation is in line with Article 32 of the Italian Constitution, which states that health is a fundamental right of the individual

(not only of the citizen) and statutes free health care for the poor.

An amendment to the law concerning public order measures, approved on May 14, 2009, by the Chamber of Deputies and now to be approved by the Senate, introduces the crime of illegal immigration, and consequently obliges by law all civil servants to report undocumented migrants. Public health workers are civil servants. Notwithstanding previous legislation forbidding them to report undocumented migrants, this new legislation risks creating fear among migrants, preventing them from attending health facilities and exposing both migrants and the whole community to higher health hazards.

Since 2003, Médecins Sans Frontières has been working in Italy, offering assistance at landings, and in public health centres for undocumented migrants. Migrants often present with disorders related to the hardships of the journey (trauma, dehydration, etc), the difficult working and living conditions (eg, osteoarticular diseases), and the stress caused by social exclusion, uncertainty of income, and changes in eating habits (gastritis and duodenal ulcers). Women mainly attend for gynaecological problems. Cross-cultural mediation services and outreach activities, aimed among other things at raising awareness about the availability of health care without the risk of being reported, have proven to be key factors for achieving effective access to health care.<sup>1</sup>

If the Senate approves the current proposal, the obligation for civil servants to report undocumented migrants would undermine any confidence they might have had in the public health system. This will increase exclusion and worsen their already unacceptable living and working conditions,<sup>2,3</sup> with serious health consequences for the whole community.



See [World Report](#) page 2099